

FCG VALUATION CASE E-FLASH

Authored by John Walker and Chris D. Treharne, ASA, MCBA, BVAL of Gibraltar Business Appraisals, Inc. a member firm of FCG Issue 12:10

Estate of Marie J. Jensen, Deceased, Virginia E. Maurer, Executrix, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent

T.C. Memo. 2010-182, Docket No. 25681-08, Filed August 10, 2010.

The Tax Court considered the amount of discount allowable for built-in long term capital gains tax when calculating the value of an estate's controlling, 82-percent interest in a closely-held C corporation owning real estate.

TAKEAWAY

Reversing its positions in <u>Eisenberg</u>, <u>Estate of Dunn</u>, and <u>Estate of Jelke</u>, the Tax Court allowed <u>Jensen's</u> built-in, long term capital gains tax to be deducted dollar-for-dollar.

A less apparent fact associated with the case: The taxpayer and IRS stipulated that the value of the 82-percent, controlling interest was subject to a 5% discount for lack of marketability from its pro rata share of tangible net asset value.

CONTROLLING OWNER'S ESTATE AND IRS DISAGREE ON BIG TAX

When Marie Jensen died on July 31, 2005, she was trustee of the Marie J. Jensen Revocable Trust ("Trust"). Included in the corpus of the Trust was 164 shares (a controlling 82 percent interest in) of Wa-Klo, Inc., a closely-held C corporation. Wa-Klo's primary asset was 94 acres of waterfront property in New Hampshire. The property had been improved to operate a girls' camp. Improvements included state-of-the art facilities including bunkhouses, cottages, a dining hall, a horse stable, an indoor gymnasium, and playing fields.

Upon Ms. Jensen's death, the Estate's experts valued Wa-Klo using the net asset value method from which it subtracted dollar-for-dollar a built-in gains ("BIG") tax liability of \$965,000 (which was revised upward to \$1.13 million).

The IRS expert used the net asset value method to value Wa-Klo as well but determined a BIG tax liability of \$250,042. In particular, the IRS expert analyzed transactions of closed-end funds to determine discount for the BIG tax. Additionally, the IRS expert asserted that there were ways in which the BIG tax could be avoided (1031 exchange, conversion to S corporation, etc.). As a result of the preceding, the IRS expert determined a lower BIG liability than the Estate.

USE OF CLOSED-END FUNDS FOR BIG TAX REJECTED

The Tax Court rejected the IRS expert's reliance on closed-end funds. The Court noted the differences between an investment in a single parcel of real estate as in Wa-Klo and closed-end funds, which typically invest in various sectors and asset classes. Additionally, closed-end funds investing in real estate typically invest indirectly (i.e., they buy shares in REITs or other entities which own real estate) and invest in multiple types of real estate.

The Tax Court further rejected the reliance on closed-end funds as it found that closed-end fund discounts were determined by many factors, not just exposure to BIG tax. The Court particularly noted that "studies on the effects of unrealized capital gains on the discounts from a closed-end fund's net asset value are inconclusive."

STRATEGIES TO AVOID BIG TAX REJECTED

The Court dismissed the IRS expert's contention that the BIG tax could be avoided. The Tax Court argued that the best an investor could hope for was deferment of the tax, which would shift payment of the tax from the seller to the buyer. As a result, the negotiated price would reflect the tax (i.e., the tax would still exist).

TAX COURT'S CALCULATION OF BIG TAX

Using appreciation rates provided in the Petitioner's real estate appraisal and based on data provided by the Estate's experts as well as calculating a 17 year useful depreciable life for the real estate and its improvements, the Tax Court calculated a range of reasonable future values for the property. The Court then determined future long-term capital gains (BIG) tax liabilities, which it then discounted back over 17 years using the previously determined appreciation rates.

Because the Estate's upwardly adjusted calculation of BIG was reasonably close to the Tax Court's calculation, that value was accepted dollar-for-dollar by the Court while the IRS expert's calculated value was rejected.

PERKINS VALUATION GROUP:

Perkins' dedicated business valuation practice group can help both companies and individuals with their valuation needs. Our team can perform an objective analysis to determine the fair market value of your business and advise you on the next steps. Our team has performed valuations for closely-held companies, trust and partnership interests, restricted securities and other intangibles for the purposes of estate and gift planning, ESOP and Phantom Stock issues, merger and acquisition studies, divorce, buy-sell agreements and business succession planning. In addition, we can offer expert witness and litigation support.

ABOUT FINANCIAL CONSULTING GROUP:

Perkins & Co has chosen to join <u>Financial Consultants Group</u> (FCG), one of the largest valuation organizations in the country. This membership helps us stay current on valuation best practices and industry issues and give us a forum of other professionals for discussions, consultations, and second opinions. It also provides us with additional training opportunities and resources, including access to the nation's top experts in valuation and litigation support.

